This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

STANDARD

1SO/IEC 23026

IEEE Std 2001-2002

First edition 2006-06-01

Software Engineering — Recommended Practice for the Internet — Web Site Engineering, Web Site Management, and Web Site Life Cycle

Ingénierie du logiciel — Pratique recommandée pour Internet — Ingénierie du site web, management du site web, et cycle de vie du site web



ISO/IEC 23026:2006(E) IEEE Std 2001-2002

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.



ISO
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

IEEE Std 2001™-2002

(Revision of IEEE Std 2001-1999)

2001[™]

IEEE Recommended Practice for the Internet—Web Site Engineering, Web Site Management, and Web Site Life Cycle

IEEE Computer Society

Sponsored by the Internet Best Practices Working Group



Published by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. 3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

3 March 2003

Print: SH95025 PDF: SS95025 Recognized as an American National Standard (ANSI) **IEEE Std 2001 [™]-2002** (Revision of IEEE Std 2001-1999)

IEEE Recommended Practice for the Internet—Web Site Engineering, Web Site Management, and Web Site Life Cycle

Sponsor

Internet Best Practices Working Group

IEEE Computer Society

Approved 21 January 2003

American National Standards Institute

Approved 12 September 2002

IEEE-SA Standards Board

Abstract: Recommended practices for World Wide Web page engineering for Intranet and Extranet environments, based on World Wide Web Consortium (W3C®) and related industry guidelines, are defined in this recommended practice. This recommended practice does not address stylistic considerations or human-factors considerations in web page design beyond limitations that reflect good engineering practice.

Keywords: Extranet, Internet, Intranet, Web page, Web site, Web site engineering, Web site life cycle, Web site management, well-engineered Web page, World Wide Web

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. 3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

Copyright © 2003 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved. Published 3 March 2003. Printed in the United States of America.

 DOI (Digital Object Identifier) $^{\footnotesize @}$ is a registered trademark of the DOI Foundation.

IEEE is a registered trademarks in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.

W3C® is a registered trademark (registered in numerous countries) of the World Wide Web Consortium.

Print: ISBN 0-7381-4971-3 SH95539 PDF ISBN 0-7381-4972-1 SS95539

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

International Standard ISO/IEC 23026:2006(E)

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC ITC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the SO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC 23026 was prepared by IEEE (as IEEE Std 2001:2002) and was adopted, under a special "fast-track procedure", by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, Information technology, Subcommittee SC 7, Software and system engineering, in parallel with its approval by national bodies of ISO and IEC. The IEEE Computer Society will cooperate in the maintenance of this International Standard as a Category A liaison to SC 7.





IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. The IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and serve without compensation. While the IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, the IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information contained in its standards.

Use of an IEEE Standard is wholly voluntary. The IEEE disclaims liability for any personal injury, property or other damage, of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance upon this, or any other IEEE Standard document.

The IEEE does not warrant or represent the accuracy or content of the material contained herein, and expressly disclaims any express or implied warranty, including any implied warranty of merchantability or fitness for a specific purpose, or that the use of the material contained herein is free from patent infringement. IEEE Standards documents are supplied "AS IS."

The existence of an IEEE Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE Standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard. Every IEEE Standard is subjected to review at least every five years for revision or reaffirmation. When a document is more than five years old and has not been reaffirmed, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE Standard.

In publishing and making this document available, the IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity. Nor is the IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing this, and any other IEEE Standards document, should rely upon the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances.

Interpretations: Occasionally questions may arise regarding the meaning of portions of standards as they relate to specific applications. When the need for interpretations is brought to the attention of IEEE, the Institute will initiate action to prepare appropriate responses. Since IEEE Standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important to ensure that any interpretation has also received the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committee are not able to provide an instant response to interpretation requests except in those cases where the matter has previously received formal consideration.

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Comments on standards and requests for interpretations should be addressed to:

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board 445 Hoes Lane P.O. Box 1331 Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331

Note: Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. The IEEE shall not be responsible for identifying patents for which a license may be required by an IEEE standard or for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of those patents that are brought to its attention.

Authorization to photocopy portions of any individual standard for internal or personal use is granted by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., provided that the appropriate fee is paid to Copyright Clearance Center. To arrange for payment of licensing fee, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

Introduction

(This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 2001-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Internet—Web Site Engineering, Web Site Management, and Web Site Life Cycle.)

The World Wide Web is expanding and its value is increasing as a method for locating and delivering information. This creates a significant engineering challenge. Locating applicable information requires that indexing information be incorporated into Web page development. Once an applicable page has been located, essential information may not be present, resulting in user frustration and a failure of the Web application to meet its purpose.

This is a revision of the 1999 accumulation of Web site management "recommended practices." This revision is based on IEEE Std 2001-1999, extending it based on recommendations since it was developed, addressing "site-wide" issues as well as "managed" Web sites (as opposed to just Intranet and Extranet sites). These can serve to improve the effectiveness of Web pages for users, Web page developers, and the value of the Web in corporate and organizational applications. This resommended practice is focused on managed Web sites, Intranet (within an organization), and Extranet (between a group of collaborating organizations). Other projects are being evaluated by the Internet Best Practices working group (IBPwg) within the IEEE Computer Society. See http://dx.doi.org/10.1041/standard/2001 for current details.

Web page engineering often is done with little consideration for the nameliate or ongoing implications of Web site design or implementation. Some sites reflect "state of the art" delivery that can only be accessed with the most recent tools. This may be inconsistent with the business objectives for that site. Some sites will languish beyond their applicable life, occupying valuable resources (particularly as these are incorporated into organizational indexes, and delivered as prospective "query returns" by indexing and search services). Poor Web page engineering results in lost productivity and user frustration, and can result in legal liabilities.

There is no clear prediction of when the World Wide Web or a specific site will be obsolete. There is a legit-imate engineering concern that this lifespan may be significantly underestimated or disregarded entirely in many Web site designs. Vendor products—past and future versions, format preferences, or selection of implementation languages—may require future re-engineering as vendors and products fade. Corporate Web sites may not need to live beyond the life of the corporation, however, public sector and other institutional sites may well span centuries. A significant portion of the content of these sites may not require updating, except in cases of shortsighted design. The Magna Carta and the works of Shakespeare are examples of fairly stable content.

The recommended practices and requirements set forth in this recommended practice are aimed to reduce the risks associated with Web page investments. Further revision of this recommended practice is expected, partially to reflect changes in the Web environment, but also to reflect increased understanding of "recommended practices" in Web page engineering. There is a popular awareness of "Web years," characterized by rapid advances in the platform technology for clients and servers. There is a potentially expensive, misinformed conclusion that might be drawn from this, which is that Web pages (and more directly, information content and services delivery) either are, or should, move forward at this same rate. Some of today's Web pages will warrant long-term retention, and within the context of business operations (which is the core of managed sites), re-engineering of last year's Web pages is an investment that requires justification. The value of Web-based operations is the delivery of the right information and services to the right persons at the right time with the least amount of effort. Success in Web-based operations is based more on engineering design in response to an understanding of the target-user community and information, than it is on the rapidly evolving technology for Web platforms.

Participants

At the time the Internet Best Practices Working Group (IBPwg) of the IEEE Computer Society completed this recommended practice, it had the following membership:

Paul Eastman, Chair, SAB Sponsored Projects (SAB), IEEE Computer Society
James D. Isaak, Chair, IBPwg, and Technical Editor
Earl Meiers, Secretary and Technical Editor
Don Folland, Technical Editor

Mike Gwynne Vinod Aggarwal Julia Allen Karl Hebenstreit Luis Andre Kim Helseth Ken Bartlett Don Holden Dong Hua Louis Bastarache Peter Benjamin Gael Jeanneret George Benoy Tom Jones M. Bent Gary Joslin David Kamishlian Richard Birnbaum Cornelia Boldyreff Kari Kruempel Laonie Butler Bill LaPlant Dino Butorac Sharon Laskowski Massimo Cardaci Don Lewine Alex Conn Michael Linley Jean-Michel Cornu Pei-wen Liu Luis Costa Steve Lucas Matt Davis Massimo Marchiori Roger Martin Frank Farance Adam Farquhar Jacques Mathot Wayne McCox Lil Floratos Pete Floratos Thomas M. Meddaugh Tomas Montgomery Ken Fong Ed Nemil) Gary Ford Norman Gee Jan Neuman Joan Owens Alex Godleski Peter Owens

Ketan Pandya Alan Peltzman Catherine Pinka Anan Ponnambalam Mary E. Powers Brian Quinn Peter Randle Wendy Rauch Christine Rigden Helene Riley Ken Rothermel Andrew M. Schoka Charles Sheppard Bill Smith Scott Smith Pradip Srimani Fred Starkey Dennis Steinauer David Steinmetz Sandra Swearingen Himanshu Warudkar Jean-Marc Wise Suen Wong Zenta Yung

Michael Paciello

The following members of the balloting committee voted on this recommended practice. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

Andrew Abolafia Don Folland Fiorenza Albert-Howard Juan Garbajosa **Edward Bartlett** Gregg Giesler Bakul Banerjee Garth Glynn D. J. Blackwood Charles Hammons Mitchell Bonnett George Hayhoe Mark Henlev Dino Butorac John Cole Ronald Hofer Massimo Cardaci James D. Isaak James T. Carlo Neil Jacobson Sue Carroll Jerry Johnson Lawrence Catchpole Vladan Jovanovic Keith Chow Dwayne Knirk Rosemary Coleman Thomas M. Kurihara Paul Croll George Kyle Guru Dutt Dhingra Susan Land Gregory Daich Thomas Lindahl Taz Daughtrey Claire Lohr David Deighton Greg Luri Bostjan Derganc Esperanza Marcos Martinez Cosimo Distante Peter Martini Luis Andre Dutra e Silva Jacques Mathot Jose Dominic Espejo Ian McChesney Lee Farrell Earl Meiers

Paul Menchini James Moore Mary Lou Padgett Roger Pandanda Luiz Claudio Parzianello Lou Pinto Terrill Rav Ken Rothermel, Jr. James Ruggieri Randy Saunders Norman Schneidewind Andrew Schoka Mitchell Smith **David Steinmetz** Sandra Swearingen Robert Tripi T. N. Tse Mark-Rene Uchida Scott Valcourt

Paul Work

Don Wright

Oren Yuen

Tom Worthington

Janusz Zalewski

When the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved this recommended practice on 12 September 2002, it had the following membership:

James T. Carlo, Chair, James H. Gurney, Vice Chair Judith Gorman, Secretary Toshio Fukuda Sid Bennett H. Stephen Berger Arnold M. Greenspan Clyde R. Camp Raymond Hapeman Richard DeBlasic Donald M. Heirman Harold E. Epstein Richard H. Hulett Julian Forster Lowell G. Johnson Howard M. Frazier Joseph L. Koepfinger* Peter H. Lips

Nader Mehravari Daleep C. Mohla William J. Moylan Malcolm V. Thaden Geoffrey O. Thompson Howard L. Wolfman Don Wright

*Member Emeritus

Also included are the following nonvoting IEEE-SA Standards Board liaisons:

Alan Cookson, *NIST Representative* Satish K. Aggarwal, *NRC Representative*

Andrew Ickowicz

IEEE Standards Project Editor

Contents

1.	Overview1		
	1.1	Scope	1
		Purpose	
	1.3	Conformance	2
2.	Ref	erences	3
3.	Def	initions, terminology, abbreviations and acronyms	4
	3.1	Definitions	4
	3.2	Definitions	5
		Abbreviations and acronyms	<u>,</u> 6
4.	Dos	ign practices	6
4.			
	4.1	General requirements Environment selection Scripting languages and Java	7
	4.2	Environment selection	12
	4 3	Scripting languages and Java	
5.		ver, HTTP and site considerations	
	5.1	HTTP 1.1 application. Cache expiration date	19
	5.2	Cache expiration date	19
	5.3	Non-caching Non-caching	19
	5.4	Browser language selection	19
	5.5	Robot exclusion	20
	5.6	Robot exclusion	20
	5.7	Webmaster contact	20
	5.8	Redirection	20
	5.9	Compression	21
	3.10) She gonventions	∠1
	5.11	Web site center page	21
		Site index and search	
	\triangle		
6.	(Hea	dek information \	23
	6.1	Document type declaration	23
		Title	
		Metadata	
	6.4	Digital signature	25
7.	Boo	ly information	25
	7.1	Sensitive information exposure	25
	7.2	Intellectual property rights (IPR)	
	7.3	Security designations	
	7.4	Dates and time	
	7.5	International considerations	
	7.6	Bandwidth efficiencies	31
	7.7	Navigation aids	31

7.8 Active links	32
7.9 Dead links	32
7.10 Absolute and relative links	32
7.11 Cookies	33
7.12 Frame considerations	33
7.13 Graphical images	34
7.14 Deprecated HTML elements and attributes	34
7.15 Physical location information	34
7.16 Server technology independence	35
7.17 Flushing search engines	35
Annex A (informative) Bibliography	36
Annex B (informative) Potential areas of future or additional work	43
Annex C (normative) XML tags and HTML attribute values	44
Annex D (informative) Metadata for indexing and classification	46
Annex E (normative) Robot exclusion	50
Annex F (informative) Privacy statement text	52
Annex G (informative) Requirements checklist	54
Annex H (informative) Color combinations—numerical and visual color tables	62
Annex I (normative) 36 CFR 1194 connections.	65
Index	68

IEEE Recommended Practice for the Internet—Web Site Engineering, Web Site Management, and Web Site Life Cycle

1. Overview

This recommended practice provides guidance for designing and implementing well-engineered Web pages for use in managed Web sites. The goal of this recommended practice is to improve the productivity of managed Web operations in terms of:

- a) locating relevant information,
- b) facilitating ease of use,
- c) reducing legal liabilities, and
- d) providing for efficient development and maintenance practices.

This recommended practice will focus on vendor- and product-independent considerations.

This recommended practice provides guidance for persons designing and developing Web pages, and managers responsible for establishing guidelines for Web site development.

1.1 Scope

This document defines recommended practices for World Wide Web page engineering for Intranet and Extranet environments, based on World Wide Web Consortium (W3C[®]) and related industry guidelines.

This recommended practice does not address stylistic considerations or human-factors considerations in Web page design beyond limitations that reflect good engineering practice. Annex B contains topics which are not sufficiently mature or where there are not specific recommendations for inclusion in the current issue of this resommended practice.

1.2 Purpose

This recommended practice is intended to provide guidance to Web page developers in Intranet (organizational internal), and Extranet (amongst a limited domain of organizational participants) Web environments on recommended practices for Web page engineering. The objective is to improve the productivity of Intranet/Extranet Web operations in terms of locating relevant information, and efficient development and maintenance practices.

The 2002 revision of this recommended practice addresses items identified in Annex A of IEEE Std 2001-1999 [B14]¹ which can be well-defined and to respond to emerging technology and changes in practice that have resulted in new material or changes to approved recommendations. Conformance to this recommended practice in developing and publishing a Web site provides a basis for a well-engineered Web site.

¹The numbers in brackets correspond to those of the bibliography in Annex A.

IEEE RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR THE INTERNET—WEB SITE

1.3 Conformance

This recommended practice defines two forms of conformance: "IEEE Std 2001-2002-conforming Web page" and "IEEE Std 2001-2002-conforming Web page generation tool." Throughout this recommended practice, the use of the verb *shall* indicates a requirement of the standard; the use of the verb *should* indicates a recommendation; and the use of the verb *may* indicates an option or variation that is permitted by the recommended practice. Although users of this recommended practice are strongly encouraged to consider the recommendations made within, the implementation of recommendations is not a requirement of Web page conformance.

1.3.1 IEEE Std 2001-2002-conforming Web page

A conforming Web page implements all the requirements of this recommended practice. A Web page that conforms to this recommended practice may indicate this by the use of the following tag:

NOTE—The HTML Reference Designator (HRER value will charge with each version of this recommended practice. Tools should use the HREF value to determine the version of the standard being used.

The image file may be downloaded and referenced using relative Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs), but the target HTML file must be referenced by an absolute URI.

Consistent with 4.1.10 of this recommended practice, a meta statement indicating conformance to this recommended practice may be included. This statement is:

```
"<meta name="guideline" content="computer.org/2001/2002" />
```

1.3.2 IEEE Std 2001-2002 conforming Web page generation tool

A product for generating well-engineered Web pages dynamically, or as an authoring tool, conforms to IEEE Std 2001-2002 if it satisfies all of the following conditions:

- a) It generates pages that conform to the XHTML DTD recommendation of the W3C, or it documents which DTDs it supports.
- b) It generates pages which comply with the DTD selection of the user.
- c) For versions HTML 3.2 and higher, or XML; it supports Cascading Style Sheets (CSS) 1.0 or higher, or it supports XSL and, in either case, it documents the use of this function and identifies which recommendations are supported.
- d) It generates pages that conform to all of the requirements, recommendations, and options of this recommended practice. Tools may allow for creation of non-conforming pages as a user option (in which case the IEEE 2001 tag cannot be included on the page.)
- e) It supports the Web Consortium's Authoring Tool Accessibility Guidelines (see 2.8).

ENGINEERING, WEB SITE MANAGEMENT, AND WEB SITE LIFE CYCLE

2. References

This recommended practice shall be used in conjunction with the following publications. When the following specifications are superseded by an approved revision, the revision shall apply. See Annex A for informative bibliographic references. Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) provided in this recommended practice are current as of the date submitted for publication². See http://dx.doi.org/10.1041/standard/2001/2002/references for a list of normative and informative reference URLs on-line.

36 CFR 1194 –Electronic and Information Technology Accessibility Standards, Federal Register Dec. 21, 2000; Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).³

Authoring Tool Accessibility Guidelines 1.0, W3C Recommendation 3 February 2000, Guidelines required by Web page generation tools to support accessibility requirements.⁴

HTML 4.01 Specification, W3C Recommendation 24 December 1999.^{5,6}

ISO 639-1:2002, Codes for the Representation of Names of Languages—Part 1: Alpha-2 Code. 7

ISO 639-2:1998, Codes for the Representation of Names of Languages—Part 2: Alpha-3 Code

ISO 3166-1:1997, Codes for the Representation of Names of Countries and their Subdivisions—Part 1: Country Codes.

ISO 4217:2001, Codes for the Representation of Currencies and Funds

W3C REC-CSS1-19900111, W3C Recommendation Cascading Style Sheets, level 1—W3C Recommendation, 17 Dec. 1996, revised 11 Jan 1999. 8,9

W3C REC-CSS2-19980512, W3C Recommendation Cascading Style Sheets, level 2 CSS2 Specification—W3C Recommendation N2 May 1998. 10, 11

²If direct access to W3C recommendations is not possible, they can be located from the primary site: http://www.w3.org.

³For information on this document, please visit http://www.access-board.gov/sec508/508standards.htm.

⁴For information on this document, please visit http://www.w3.org/TR/ATAG10/.

⁵This specification defines the HyperText Markup Language (HTML), the publishing language of the World Wide Web. This specification defines HTML 4.01, which is an update of HTML 4. In addition to the text, multimedia, and hyperlink features of the previous versions of HTML (HTML 3.2 [HTML32] see [B60]—and HTML 2.0—see [B20]), HTML 4 supports more multimedia options, scripting languages, style specific better printing facilities, and documents that are more accessible to users with disabilities. HTML 4 also takes great strides towards the internationalization of documents, with the goal of making the Web truly World Wide. HTML 4 is an SGML application conforming to ISO 8879:1986 [B43].

⁶For information on this document, please visit http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-html401-19991224.

⁷ISO publications are available from the ISO Central Secretariat, Case Postale 56, 1 rue de Varembé, CH-1211, Genève 20, Switzerland/Suisse (http://www.iso.ch/). ISO publications are also available in the United States from the Sales Department, American National Standards Institute, 25 West 43rd Street, 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, USA (http://www.ansi.org/).

⁸This document specifies level 1 of the Cascading Style Sheet (CSS1) mechanism. CSS1 is a simple style sheet mechanism that allows authors and readers to attach style (e.g., fonts, colors, and spacing) to HTML documents. The CSS1 language is human readable and writable, and expresses style in common desktop publishing terminology. One of the fundamental features of CSS is that style sheets cascade; authors can attach a preferred style sheet, while readers may have a personal style sheet to adjust for human or technological handicaps. The rules for resolving conflicts between different style sheets are defined in this specification.

⁹For information on this document, please visit http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-CSS1.

¹⁰This specification defines Cascading Style Sheets, level 2 (CSS2). CSS2 is a style sheet language that allows authors and users to attach style (e.g., fonts, spacing, and aural cues) to structured documents (e.g., HTML documents and XML applications). By separating the presentation style of documents from the content of documents, CSS2 simplifies Web authoring and site maintenance.

CSS2 builds on CSS1 and, with very few exceptions, all valid CSS1 style sheets are valid CSS2 style sheets. CSS2 supports media-specific style sheets so that authors may tailor the presentation of their documents to visual browsers, aural devices, printers, Braille devices, hand-held devices, etc. This specification also supports content positioning, downloadable fonts, table layout, features for internationalization, automatic counters and numbering, and some properties related to user interface.

 $^{^{11}} For information on this document, please visit \ http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-CSS2/.$

IEEE RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR THE INTERNET—WEB SITE

W3C WAI Web Content 19990505, W3C Recommendation Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 1.0, WAI Page Author Guidelines —W3C, 5 May1999. 12, 13

XHTML $^{\text{TM}}$ 1.0: The Extensible HyperText Markup Language, A Reformulation of HTML 4 in XML 1.0, W3C Recommendation 26 January 2000. $^{14, 15}$



¹²These guidelines explain how to make Web content accessible to people with disabilities. The guidelines are intended for all Web content developers (page authors and site designers) and for developers of authoring tools. The primary goal of these guidelines is to promote accessibility. However, following them will also make Web content more available to all users, whatever user agent they are using (e.g., desktop browser, voice browser, mobile phone, automobile-based personal computer, etc) or constraints they may be operating under (e.g., noisy surroundings, under- or over-illuminated rooms, in a hands-free environment, etc). Following these guidelines will also help people find information on the Web more quickly. These guidelines do not discourage content developers from using images, video, etc, but rather explain how to make multimedia content more accessible to a wide audience.

¹³For information on this document, please visit http://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG10.

¹⁴This specification defines XHTML 1.0, a reformulation of HTML 4 as an XML 1.0 application, and three DTDs corresponding to the ones defined by HTML 4. The semantics of the elements and their attributes are defined in the W3C Recommendation for HTML 4. These semantics provide the foundation for future extensibility of XHTML. Compatibility with existing HTML user agents is possible by following a small set of guidelines.

XHTML is a family of current and future document types and modules that reproduce, subset, and extend HTML 4.0. XHTML 1.0 provides the basis for a family of document types that will extend and subset XHTML, in order to support a wide range of new devices and applications, by defining modules and specifying a mechanism for combining these modules. This mechanism will enable the extension and subsetting of XHTML 1.0 in a uniform way through the definition of new modules.

¹⁵For information on this document, please visit http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml1.