
**Information technology — Document
description and processing languages —
Office Open XML File Formats —**

**Part 1:
Fundamentals and Markup Language
Reference**

*Technologies de l'information — Description des documents et
langages de traitement — Formats de fichier "Office Open XML" —*

Partie 1: Principes essentiels et référence de langage de balisage

Withdrawn



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2012

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Table of Contents

Foreword	viii
Introduction	x
1. Scope	1
2. Conformance	2
2.1 Document Conformance.....	2
2.2 Application Conformance	2
2.3 Application Descriptions.....	3
2.4 Interoperability Guidelines	5
3. Normative References	6
4. Terms and Definitions	10
5. Notational Conventions	13
6. Acronyms and Abbreviations	14
7. General Description	15
8. Overview	16
8.1 Content Overview	16
8.2 Packages and Parts	16
8.3 Consumers and Producers.....	16
8.4 WordprocessingML.....	16
8.5 SpreadsheetML.....	18
8.6 PresentationML.....	18
8.7 Supporting MLs.....	19
9. Packages	21
9.1 Office Open XML's Use of OPC.....	21
9.2 Relationships in Office Open XML	22
10. Markup Compatibility and Extensibility	27
10.1 Constraints on Office Open XML's Use of Markup Compatibility and Extensibility	27
11. WordprocessingML	28
11.1 Glossary of WordprocessingML-Specific Terms	28
11.2 Package Structure.....	28
11.3 Part Summary	31
11.4 Document Template	57
11.5 Framesets	58
11.6 Master Documents and Subdocuments	59
11.7 Mail Merge Data Source	60
11.8 Mail Merge Header Data Source	61
11.9 XSL Transformation	62
12. SpreadsheetML	64
12.1 Glossary of SpreadsheetML-Specific Terms	64

12.2	Package Structure.....	65
12.3	Part Summary	67
12.4	External Workbooks	101
13.	PresentationML	103
13.1	Glossary of PresentationML-Specific Terms.....	103
13.2	Package Structure.....	103
13.3	Part Summary	106
13.4	HTML Publish Location	124
13.5	Slide Synchronization Server Location	125
14.	DrawingML.....	127
14.1	Glossary of DrawingML-Specific Terms	127
14.2	Part Summary	127
15.	Shared.....	140
15.1	Glossary of Shared Terms	140
15.2	Part Summary	141
15.3	Hyperlinks	162
16.	Part Overview.....	164
16.1	WordprocessingML Summary	164
16.2	SpreadsheetML Summary	164
16.3	PresentationML Summary	165
16.4	DrawingML Summary	166
16.5	Shared Summary	166
17.	WordprocessingML Reference Material.....	169
17.1	Table of Contents	169
17.2	Main Document Story.....	189
17.3	Paragraphs and Rich Formatting	195
17.4	Tables.....	366
17.5	Custom Markup	478
17.6	Sections.....	540
17.7	Styles.....	607
17.8	Fonts.....	662
17.9	Numbering.....	684
17.10	Headers and Footers	726
17.11	Footnotes and Endnotes	739
17.12	Glossary Document	772
17.13	Annotations	790
17.14	Mail Merge	921
17.15	Settings	961
17.16	Fields and Hyperlinks.....	1149
17.17	Miscellaneous Topics.....	1288
17.18	Simple Types.....	1299
18.	SpreadsheetML Reference Material.....	1520
18.1	Table of Contents	1520
18.2	Workbook	1539

18.3	Worksheets.....	1586
18.4	Shared String Table.....	1711
18.5	Tables.....	1720
18.6	Calculation Chain.....	1736
18.7	Comments.....	1739
18.8	Styles.....	1746
18.9	Metadata.....	1794
18.10	Pivot Tables.....	1808
18.11	Shared Workbook Data.....	1954
18.12	QueryTable Data.....	1982
18.13	External Data Connections.....	1990
18.14	Supplementary Workbook Data.....	2012
18.15	Volatile Dependencies.....	2022
18.16	Custom XML Mappings.....	2027
18.17	Formulas.....	2035
18.18	Simple Types.....	2429
19.	PresentationML Reference Material.....	2511
19.1	Table of Contents.....	2511
19.2	Presentation.....	2517
19.3	Slides.....	2551
19.4	Comments.....	2590
19.5	Animation.....	2594
19.6	Slide Synchronization Data.....	2682
19.7	Simple Types.....	2683
20.	DrawingML - Framework Reference Material.....	2711
20.1	DrawingML - Main.....	2711
20.2	DrawingML - Picture.....	3078
20.3	DrawingML - Locked Canvas.....	3086
20.4	DrawingML - WordprocessingML Drawing.....	3087
20.5	DrawingML - SpreadsheetML Drawing.....	3143
21.	DrawingML - Components Reference Material.....	3172
21.1	DrawingML - Main.....	3172
21.2	DrawingML - Charts.....	3354
21.3	DrawingML - Chart Drawings.....	3462
21.4	DrawingML - Diagrams.....	3483
22.	Shared MLs Reference Material.....	3591
22.1	Math.....	3591
22.2	Extended Properties.....	3712
22.3	Custom Properties.....	3719
22.4	Variant Types.....	3721
22.5	Custom XML Data Properties.....	3732
22.6	Bibliography.....	3735
22.7	Additional Characteristics.....	3772
22.8	Office Document Relationships.....	3776
22.9	Shared Simple Types.....	3777

23. Custom XML Schema References	3791
23.1 Table of Contents	3791
23.2 Elements	3791
Annex A. (normative) Schemas – W3C XML Schema	3795
A.1 WordprocessingML.....	3795
A.2 SpreadsheetML.....	3861
A.3 PresentationML.....	3945
A.4 DrawingML - Framework	3976
A.5 DrawingML - Components	4044
A.6 Shared MLs.....	4095
A.7 Custom XML Schema References	4119
Annex B. (informative) Schemas – RELAX NG	4121
B.1 WordprocessingML.....	4122
B.2 SpreadsheetML.....	4168
B.3 PresentationML.....	4263
B.4 DrawingML - Framework	4288
B.5 DrawingML - Components	4339
B.6 Shared MLs.....	4375
B.7 Custom XML Schema References	4392
B.8 Additional Resources	4392
Annex C. (informative) Additional Syntax Constraints	4395
Annex D. (informative) Namespace Prefix Mapping in Examples	4396
Annex E. (informative) WordprocessingML Custom XML Data Extraction	4398
Annex F. (normative) WordprocessingML Page Borders	4401
Annex G. (normative) Predefined SpreadsheetML Style Definitions	4402
G.1 Built-in Table Styles.....	4402
G.2 Built-in Cell Styles.....	4458
G.3 Built-in PivotTable AutoFormats.....	4462
Annex H. (informative) Example Predefined DrawingML Shape and Text Geometries	4478
Annex I. (informative) Bidirectional Support	4479
I.1 Introduction	4479
I.2 Shared (WordprocessingML and DrawingML).....	4479
I.3 WordprocessingML.....	4481
I.4 SpreadsheetML.....	4484
I.5 PresentationML.....	4485
I.6 DrawingML.....	4485
I.7 The Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm and Office Open XML	4485
Annex J. (informative) Accessibility Best Practices	4489
J.1 The Value of Creating an Accessible Office Open XML Implementation.....	4489
J.2 Needs by Type of Disability.....	4490
J.3 Best Practices for Developers	4493
J.4 Best Practices for Document and Template Authors	4496

J.5	Best Practices for Customers of Office Open XML Implementations	4509
Annex K. (informative) Root Element Locations		4522
K.1	Grouped by Part Name	4522
K.2	Grouped by Schema Name	4524
Annex L. (informative) Primer		4528
L.1	Introduction to WordprocessingML	4528
L.2	Introduction to SpreadsheetML.....	4620
L.3	Introduction to PresentationML.....	4759
L.4	Introduction to DrawingML	4791
L.5	Introduction to VML.....	4950
L.6	Introduction to Shared MLs	4964
L.7	Miscellaneous Topics	4995
Annex M. (informative) Differences Between ISO/IEC 29500 and ECMA-376:2006		5010
M.1	WordprocessingML.....	5010
M.2	SpreadsheetML.....	5013
M.3	PresentationML.....	5014
M.4	DrawingML.....	5015
M.5	VML.....	5016
M.6	Shared.....	5016
M.7	Custom XML Schema References	5017
Bibliography		5018

Withhold

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC 29500-1 was prepared by ISO/IEC JTC 1, Information technology, Subcommittee SC 34, Document description and processing languages.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO/IEC 29500-1:2011), which has been technically revised by incorporation of the Amendment ISO/IEC 29500-1:2011/Amd.1:2012 and the Technical Corrigendum ISO/IEC 29500-1:2011/Cor.1:2012.

ISO/IEC 29500 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology — Document description and processing languages — Office Open XML File Formats*:

- *Part 1: Fundamentals and Markup Language Reference*
- *Part 2: Open Packaging Conventions*
- *Part 3: Markup Compatibility and Extensibility*
- *Part 4: Transitional Migration Features*

Annexes A, G and H form a normative part of this Part of ISO/IEC 29500. Annexes B–F and I–N are for information only.

This Part of ISO/IEC 29500 includes five annexes (Annex A, Annex B, Annex F, Annex G, and Annex H) that refer to data files provided in electronic form.

The document representation formats defined by this Part are different from the formats defined in the corresponding Part of ECMA-376:2006. Some of the differences are reflected in schema changes, as shown in Annex M of this Part.

Withdrawn

Introduction

ISO/IEC 29500 specifies a family of XML schemas, collectively called *Office Open XML*, which define the XML vocabularies for word-processing, spreadsheet, and presentation documents, as well as the packaging of documents that conform to these schemas.

The goal is to enable the implementation of the Office Open XML formats by the widest set of tools and platforms, fostering interoperability across office productivity applications and line-of-business systems, as well as to support and strengthen document archival and preservation, all in a way that is fully compatible with the existing corpus of Microsoft Office documents.

The following organizations have participated in the creation of ISO/IEC 29500 and their contributions are gratefully acknowledged:

Apple, Barclays Capital, BP, The British Library, Essilor, Intel, Microsoft, NextPage, Novell, Statoil, Toshiba, and the United States Library of Congress

Withhold XML

Information technology — Document description and processing languages — Office Open XML File Formats

Part 1:

Fundamentals and Markup Language Reference

1. Scope

ISO/IEC 29500 defines a set of XML vocabularies for representing word-processing documents, spreadsheets and presentations. On the one hand, the goal of ISO/IEC 29500 is to be capable of faithfully representing the pre-existing corpus of word-processing documents, spreadsheets and presentations that had been produced by the Microsoft Office applications (from Microsoft Office 97 to Microsoft Office 2008, inclusive) at the date of the creation of ISO/IEC 29500. It also specifies requirements for Office Open XML consumers and producers. On the other hand, the goal is to facilitate extensibility and interoperability by enabling implementations by multiple vendors and on multiple platforms.

This Part of ISO/IEC 29500 specifies concepts for documents and applications of both strict and transitional conformance.

2. Conformance

2.1 Document Conformance

A document of conformance class Office Open XML Strict shall be a package of conformance class OPC, as specified in ISO/IEC 29500-2, for which all the following shall hold:

- The document obeys all constraints specified in this Part of ISO/IEC 29500
- The document is of category Wordprocessing, Spreadsheet, or Presentation, as defined in §4
- For each OPC Part of the document of the types listed in §11.3, §12.3, §13.3, §14.2 or §15.2, all the following shall hold:
 - i. The part is of conformance class MCE, as specified in ISO/IEC 29500-3
 - ii. After the removal of any extensions using the mechanisms in ISO/IEC 29500-3, the part is valid against the strict W3C XML Schema (Appendix A)

This Part of ISO/IEC 29500 uses the following further terms to refer to documents of conformance class Office Open XML Strict:

- *WML Strict*, if the document is of category Wordprocessing
- *SML Strict*, if the document is of category Spreadsheet
- *PML Strict*, if the document is of category Presentation

2.2 Application Conformance

Application conformance incorporates both syntax and semantics.

- A conforming consumer shall not reject any conforming documents of at least one document conformance class.
- A conforming producer shall be able to produce conforming documents of at least one document conformance class.
- A conforming application shall treat the information in Office Open XML documents in a manner consistent with the semantic definitions given in ISO/IEC 29500. An application's intended behavior need not require that application to process all of the information in an Office Open XML document. However, the information that it does process shall be processed in a manner that is consistent with the semantic definitions given in ISO/IEC 29500.

[Note: This note illustrates the third bullet above. Conforming applications might serve various functions. Examples include a viewer, an editor, and a back-end processor. Here is an illustration of how the third bullet applies to each of those examples:

- If a conforming viewer supports a given feature, then when it displays information using that feature, it respects the semantics of that feature as described in the Standard.

- If a conforming editor supports a given feature, then when it provides its user with an interface for manipulating information using that feature, it respects the semantics of that feature as described in the Standard.
- If a conforming back-end processor supports a given feature, then when that processor transforms or assembles information involving that feature, that processor respects the semantics of that feature as described in the Standard.

end note]

This Part of ISO/IEC 29500 defines the following application conformance classes:

- *WML Strict*, if the application is a conforming application that is a consumer or producer of documents having conformance class WML Strict.
- *SML Strict*, if the application is a conforming application that is a consumer or producer of documents having conformance class SML Strict.
- *PML Strict*, if the application is a conforming application that is a consumer or producer of documents having conformance class PML Strict.

Conformance can also involve the use of application descriptions; see §2.3 for details.

2.3 Application Descriptions

An application can be defined as conforming to zero or more *application descriptions* in a particular conformance class.

The application descriptions defined within ISO/IEC 29500 are:

- Base
- Full

[*Note:* These application descriptions should not be taken as limiting the ability of an application provider to create innovative applications. They are intended as a mechanism for labelling applications rather than for restricting their capabilities. The intention is to promote interoperability between different applications that share the same conformance class. Application descriptions are orthogonal to the conformance of the documents produced by those applications. For example, a tool used for automated translation of documents might have an application description of “Base” but will still produce fully conformant documents. *end note]*

The application descriptions are determined in terms of an application’s semantic understanding of particular features. *Semantic understanding* is to be interpreted in that an application shall treat the information in Office Open XML documents in a manner consistent with the semantic definitions given in ISO/IEC 29500.

Each application description is identified by a URI.

The application descriptions are defined in the following subclauses.

2.3.1 Base Application Description

Description URI: <http://purl.oclc.org/ooxml/descriptions/base>

An application conforming to this description has a semantic understanding of at least one feature within its conformance class.

[*Note:* In addition, applications that include a user interface are strongly recommended to support all accessibility features appropriate to that user interface. *end note*]

2.3.2 Full Application Description

Description URI: <http://purl.oclc.org/ooxml/descriptions/full>

An application conforming to this description has a semantic understanding of every feature within its conformance class.

2.3.3 Additional Application Descriptions

It is expected that additional application descriptions will be defined within the maintenance process for ISO/IEC 29500. It is also expected that third parties might define their own application descriptions; for example to inform their procurement decisions, or to deal with domains such as accessibility.

[*Note:* A possible application description would be a “standard” application description for a wordprocessing application. This could be created by taking the intersection of the features available in common wordprocessing applications such as Word 2000, OpenOffice 2, WordPerfect, and iWork Pages. In addition, it could define formats such as specific image and video formats required to be supported to conform to the description. Similar descriptions could be created for spreadsheet applications and presentation applications. Such a description would promote interoperability between applications implementing OOXML. It would also promote interoperability between applications implementing OOXML and applications implementing other document formats such as ISO/IEC 26300. *end note*]

Application descriptions are not required to be strict subsets of each other. An application can simultaneously conform to multiple application descriptions.

Any such newly created description shall enumerate the features that are required for conformance to it. Such a description should provide a machine-processable schema, preferably using a standard such as ISO/IEC 19757.

[*Note:* If the application conforming to a description is a document consumer, it should be able to consume any document that respects such a schema associated with the description. If the application is a document producer, any document produced by that application should respect the schema of the description. *end note*]

Any such description should be identified using a URI, in a similar manner to the names used for application descriptions within ISO/IEC 29500.

[*Note*: For the convenience of users of the description, it is recommended that creators of a description should make a human- or machine-readable form of that description available at a URL corresponding to the description URI. *end note*]

2.3.4 Representation of Application Descriptions within Documents

An application description is related to applications, rather than to document conformance. Therefore, there is no normative mechanism for representing an application description within a document.

[*Note*: It is recommended that implementers wishing to represent an application description within a document use the standard metadata mechanism for Office Open XML. *end note*]

2.4 Interoperability Guidelines

[*Guidance*: The following interoperability guidelines incorporate semantics]

For the guidelines to be meaningful, a software application should be accompanied by documentation that describes what subset of ISO/IEC 29500 it supports. The documentation should highlight any behaviors that would, without that documentation, appear to violate the semantics of document XML elements. Together, the application and documentation should satisfy the following conditions.

1. The application need not implement operations on all XML elements defined in ISO/IEC 29500. However, if it does implement an operation on a given XML element, then that operation should use semantics for that XML element that are consistent with ISO/IEC 29500.
2. If the application moves, adds, modifies, or removes XML element instances with the effect of altering document semantics, it should declare the behavior in its documentation.

The following scenarios illustrate these guidelines.

- A presentation editor that interprets the preset shape geometry “rect” as an ellipse does not observe the first guideline because it implements “rect” but with incorrect semantics.
- A batch spreadsheet processor that saves only computed values even if the originally consumed cells contain formulas, might satisfy the first condition, but does not observe the second because the editability of the formulas is part of the cells’ semantics. To observe the second guideline, its documentation should describe the behavior.
- A batch tool that reads a word-processing document and reverses the order of text characters in every paragraph with “Title” style before saving it can be conforming even though ISO/IEC 29500 does not recommend this behavior. This tool’s behavior would be to transform the title “Office Open XML” into “LMX nepO eciffo”. Its documentation should declare its effect on such paragraphs.

The normative requirements in §2.1 imply that a conforming producer shall not write unescaped non-XML characters. As an implementation guideline, a conforming producer additionally should not write escaped non-XML characters. Doing so damages interoperability with existing XML-based standards such as SOAP and RDF.

For example, implementers could either refuse to create documents including such characters, or warn users that including such characters compromises the re-usability of their documents. *end guidance*]

Withdrawn

3. Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ANSI X3.4-1986, *American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII)*

Bureau of Standards, Metrology and Inspection of the Ministry of Economic Affairs, *CNS 7648: Data Elements and Interchange Formats — Information Interchange — Representation of Dates and Times*

Calendar Reform Committee, *Indian Ephemeris and Nautical Almanac*, 1957

Stokes, M., M. Anderson, S. Chandrasekar, and R. Motta. *A Standard Default color Space for the Internet. Vers. 1.10*. November 5, 1996. <http://www.w3.org/Graphics/Color/sRGB>

Har'El, Zvi, *Gauss Formula for the Julian Date of Passover*. Department of Mathematics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel, 2005, 6

Duerst, M, and M Suignard. *Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)*. IETF. January 2005. <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3987>

IANA, *Character Sets from IANA*, as specified at <http://www.iana.org/assignments/character-sets>

IANA. *MIME Media Types*. Internet Assigned Numbers Authority. <http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types/>

IEC 60559:1989, *Binary Floating-Point Arithmetic for Microprocessor Systems*

ISO/IEC 2382-1:1993, *Information technology — Vocabulary — Part 1: Fundamental terms*

ISO 8601:2004, *Data elements and interchange formats — Information interchange — Representation of dates and times*

ISO/IEC 8859-1:1998, *Information technology — 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets — Part 1: Latin alphabet No. 1* (referred to in ISO/IEC 29500 as the ANSI character set)

ISO/IEC 9075-1, *Information technology — Database languages — SQL — Part 1: Framework (SQL/Framework)*

ISO/IEC 10118-3:2004, *Information technology — Security techniques — Hash-functions — Part 3: Dedicated hash-functions*.

ISO/IEC 10646, *Information technology — Universal Coded Character Set (UCS)*.

ISO/IEC 29500-1:2012(E)

ISO/IEC 14496-22:2009, *Information technology — Coding of audio-visual objects — Part 22: Open Font Format*

ISO/IEC 29500-2:2011, *Information technology — Document description and processing languages — Office Open XML File Formats – Part 2: Open Packaging Conventions*

ISO/IEC 29500-3:2011, *Information technology — Document description and processing languages — Office Open XML File Formats – Part 3: Markup Compatibility and Extensibility*

Japanese Industrial Standard, JIS X 0301: *Data elements and interchange formats — Information interchange — Representation of dates and times*. Japan, 2002.

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Ministry of Islamic Affairs, Endowments, Da'wah and Guidance.

Korean Law Enactment No. 4, 1961.

Faure, D. (n.d.). *Creating and Using Components (KParts)*. <http://techbase.kde.org/Projects/Documentation>.

Maimon, Rabbi Moshe ben, *Complete Restatement of the Oral Law (Mishneh Torah)*.

Ausbrooks, Ron, et al. *Mathematical Markup Language (MathML) Version 2.0 (Second Edition)*. October 21, 2003. <http://www.w3.org/TR/MathML/>.

Kaliski, B. *The MD2 Message-Digest Algorithm*. April 1992. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1319.txt>

Rivest, R. *The MD4 Message-Digest Algorithm*. April 1992. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1320.txt>

The MD5 Message-Digest Algorithm. April 1992. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1321.txt>.

National Measurement Regulations 1999, Commonwealth of Australia
<http://www.comlaw.gov.au/Details/F2011C00445>

NIST Guide to SI Units, <http://physics.nist.gov/Pubs/SP811/appenB9.html>

QuickTime File Format Specification (2007-09-04 version)
<http://developer.apple.com/standards/classicquicktime.html>

Resource Description Framework (RDF), <http://www.w3.org/RDF/>

RFC 822, *Standard for ARPA Internet Text Messages* (<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0822.txt>)

RFC 2045, Borenstein, N., and N. Freed. *Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies*. The Internet Society. 1996. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2045.txt>

RFC 2119, Bradner, Scott, 1997: *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*.
<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

RFC 2616, Berners-Lee, T., R. Fielding, H. Frystyk, J. Gettys, P. Leach, L. Masinter, and J. Mogul. *Hypertext Transfer Protocol—HTTP/1.1*. The Internet Society. 1999. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt>

RFC 3066, Alvestrand, H. *Tags for the Identification of Languages*. The Internet Society. 2001.

<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3066.txt>

RFC 3339, Klyne, G. and C. Newman. *Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps*. The Internet Society. 2002.

<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3339.txt>

RFC 3629, Yergeau, F. *UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646*. The Internet Society. 2003.

<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3629.txt>

RFC 3986, Berners-Lee, T., R. Fielding, and L. Masinter. *Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax*. The Internet Society. 2005. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986.txt>

Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP), <http://www.w3.org/TR/soap12>

SMIL, Bulterman, D., Grassel, G., Jansen, J., Koivisto, A., Layaïda, N., Michel, T., et al. (2005, December 13).

Synchronized Multimedia Integration Language (SMIL 2.1). Retrieved from W3C: <http://www.w3.org/TR/SMIL/>

SVG, Andersson, O., Armstrong, P., Axelsson, H., Berjon, R., Bézaire, B., Bowler, J., et al. (2003, January 14).

Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG) 1.1 Specification. Retrieved from W3C - World Wide Web Consortium:

<http://www.w3.org/TR/SVG/>

The GNOME Project. (2003, December 12). *Component Model - Bonobo Document Model*. Retrieved from The GNOME Development Site: <http://developer.gnome.org/bonobo-activation/stable/>

The Unicode Consortium. *The Unicode Standard*, <http://www.unicode.org/standard/standard.html>.

Unicode Technical Note #28, *Nearly Plain-Text Encoding of Mathematics*. August 29, 2006,

<http://www.unicode.org/notes/tn28>

United States Postal Service. *Domestic Mail Manual*. United States Postal Service. November 8, 2007.

<http://pe.usps.com/cpim/ftp/manuals/dmm300/Full/MailingStandards.pdf>

The Units of Measurement Regulations 1995, United Kingdom

http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si1995/Uksi_19951804_en_2.htm

Universal Postal Union. *POST*CODE: Postal addressing systems*. Berne: UPU Publications, 2006, ISBN 92-95025-37-7, ISSN 1020-6019

Web Accessibility Initiative (WAI), <http://www.w3.org/WAI/>

XSLT, Clark, James, *XSL Transformations (XSLT) Version 1.0*, World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation.

1999. <http://www.w3.org/TR/xslt>

XML, Tim Bray, Jean Paoli, Eve Maler, C. M. Sperberg-McQueen, and François Yergeau (editors). *Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0, Fourth Edition*. World Wide Web Consortium. 2006.

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-20060816/> [Implementers should be aware that a further correction of the normative reference to XML to refer to the 5th Edition will be necessary when the related Reference

Specifications to which this International Standard also makes normative reference and which also depend upon XML, such as XSLT, XML Namespaces and XML Base, are all aligned with the 5th Edition.]

XML Base, Marsh, Jonathan. *XML Base*. World Wide Web Consortium. 2001. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlbase-20010627/>

XML Namespaces, Tim Bray, Dave Hollander, Andrew Layman, and Richard Tobin (editors). *Namespaces in XML 1.0 (Third Edition)*, 8 December 2009. World Wide Web Consortium. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2009/REC-xml-names-20091208/>

XPATH, Clark, James; DeRose, Steve *XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0*, World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation. 1999. <http://www.w3.org/TR/xpath>.

XML Schema Part 0: Primer (Second Edition), W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-0/>

XML Schema Part 1: Structures (Second Edition), W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-1/>

XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes (Second Edition), W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/>

.ZIP File Format Specification from PKWARE, Inc., version 6.2.0 (2004), as specified in http://www.pkware.com/documents/APPNOTE/APPNOTE_6.2.0.txt

Withholding