

---

---

**Systems and software engineering —  
Recommended practice for architectural  
description of software-intensive  
systems**

*Ingénierie des logiciels et des systèmes — Pratique recommandée pour  
la description architecturale des systèmes exigeant beaucoup de  
logiciels*

Withhold.com



**PDF disclaimer**

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

Withdrawn

# IEEE Recommended Practice for Architectural Description of Software-Intensive Systems

Sponsor

**Software Engineering Standards Committee**  
of the  
**IEEE Computer Society**

Approved 21 September 2000

**IEEE-SA Standards Board**

**Abstract:** This recommended practice addresses the activities of the creation, analysis, and sustainment of architectures of software-intensive systems, and the recording of such architectures in terms of architectural descriptions. A conceptual framework for architectural description is established. The content of an architectural description is defined. Annexes provide the rationale for key concepts and terminology, the relationships to other standards, and examples of usage.

**Keywords:** architectural description, architecture, software-intensive system, stakeholder concerns, system stakeholder, view, viewpoint

---

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.  
3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

Copyright © 2000 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.  
All rights reserved. Published 9 October 2000. Printed in the United States of America.

Print: ISBN 0-7381-2518-0 SH94869  
PDF: ISBN 0-7381-2519-9 SS94869

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

**ISO/IEC 42010:2007(E)**  
**IEEE Std 1471-2000**

## **International Standard ISO/IEC 42010:2007(E)**

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC 42010 was prepared by IEEE (as IEEE Std 1471-2000) and was adopted, under a special "fast-track procedure", by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 7, *Software and systems engineering*, in parallel with its approval by national bodies of ISO and IEC. The IEEE Computer Society will cooperate in the maintenance of this International Standard as a Category A liaison to SC 7.



**IEEE Standards** documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. Members of the committees serve voluntarily and without compensation. They are not necessarily members of the Institute. The standards developed within IEEE represent a consensus of the broad expertise on the subject within the Institute as well as those activities outside of IEEE that have expressed an interest in participating in the development of the standard.

Use of an IEEE Standard is wholly voluntary. The existence of an IEEE Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE Standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard. Every IEEE Standard is subjected to review at least every five years for revision or reaffirmation. When a document is more than five years old and has not been reaffirmed, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE Standard.

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments.

Interpretations: Occasionally questions may arise regarding the meaning of portions of standards as they relate to specific applications. When the need for interpretations is brought to the attention of IEEE, the Institute will initiate action to prepare appropriate responses. Since IEEE Standards represent a consensus of all concerned interests, it is important to ensure that any interpretation has also received the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to interpretation requests except in those cases where the matter has previously received formal consideration.

Comments on standards and requests for interpretations should be addressed to:

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board  
445 Hoes Lane  
P.O. Box 1331  
Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331  
USA

Note: Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. The IEEE shall not be responsible for identifying patents for which a license may be required by an IEEE standard or for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of those patents that are brought to its attention.

IEEE is the sole entity that may authorize the use of certification marks, trademarks, or other designations to indicate compliance with the materials set forth herein.

Authorization to photocopy portions of any individual standard for internal or personal use is granted by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., provided that the appropriate fee is paid to Copyright Clearance Center. To arrange for payment of licensing fee, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; (978) 750-8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

## IEEE Introduction

(This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 1471-2000, *IEEE Recommended Practice for Architectural Description of Software-Intensive Systems*.)

It has long been recognized that “architecture” has a strong influence over the life cycle of a system. In the past, hardware-related architectural aspects were dominant, whereas software-related architectural integrity, when it existed, often was to be sacrificed first in the course of system development. Today, software-intensive systems are pervasive. The cost of software development and the increasing complexity of software systems have changed the relative balance. Software technology is maturing rapidly. The practice of system development can benefit greatly from adherence to architectural precepts.

However, the concepts of architecture have not been consistently defined and applied within the life cycle of software-intensive systems. Despite significant industrial and research activity in this area, there is no single, accepted framework for codifying architectural thinking, thereby facilitating the common application and evolution of available and emerging architectural practices.

The IEEE Architecture Planning Group (APG) was formed in August 1995 to address this need. The APG was chartered by the IEEE Software Engineering Standards Committee (SESC) to set a direction for incorporating architectural thinking into IEEE standards. The result of the APG’s deliberations was to recommend an IEEE activity with the following goals:

- To define useful terms, principles and guidelines for the consistent application of architectural precepts to systems throughout their life cycle
- To elaborate architectural precepts and their anticipated benefits for software products, systems, and aggregated systems (i.e., “systems of systems”)
- To provide a framework for the collection and consideration of architectural attributes and related information for use in IEEE standards
- To provide a useful road map for the incorporation of architectural precepts in the generation, revision, and application of IEEE standards

In April 1996 SESC created the Architecture Working Group (AWG) to implement those recommendations.

## Participants

At the time this recommended practice was completed, the Architecture Working Group had the following membership.

**Basil Sherlund, Chair**  
**Ronald L. Wade, Vice Chair**  
**David Emery, Vice Chair for Liaison**  
**Rich Hilliard, Secretary and Editor**

Frank C. Belz  
S. Jeromy Carriere  
Mark Dehlin  
Verlynda Dobbs  
Nancy Eickelmann  
Walter J. Ellis  
William Gess  
Vladan V. Jovanovic

Judith S. Kerner  
Dwayne L. Knirk  
Ron Kohl  
Alexei E. Kotov  
Philippe Kruchten  
Simon Liu  
Mark W. Maier  
Bill McMullen  
Fatima Mili

Glenn Plonk  
Peter T. Poon  
Dave Rayford  
Ann Reedy  
Ira Sachs  
Thomas F. Saunders  
M. Wayne Shiveley  
Louise Tamres

The following members of the balloting committee voted on this standard:

Edward A. Addy  
Mario R. Barbacci  
Edward E. Bartlett  
Leo Beltracchi  
Frank C. Belz  
Richard E. Biehl  
Edward R. Byrne  
Lawrence Catchpole  
Leslie Chambers  
Keith Chow  
Antonio M. Cicu  
Sylvain Clermont  
Rosemary Coleman  
Darrell Cooksey  
Paul R. Croll  
Gregory T. Daich  
Bostjan K. Derganc  
Perry R. DeWeese  
Verlynda Dobbs  
Franz D. Engelmann  
William Eventoff  
Jonathan H. Fairclough  
John W. Fendrich  
John H. Fowler  
Eva Freund  
Andrew Gabb  
Juan Garbajosa-Sopena

Hiranmay Ghosh  
Marilyn Ginsberg-Finner  
Julio Gonzalez-Sanz  
Lewis Gray  
L. M. Gunther  
John Harauz  
Herbert Hecht  
Mark Henley  
John W. Horch  
George Jackelen  
Frank V. Jorgensen  
Vladan V. Jovanovic  
William S. Junk  
Judith S. Kerner  
Thomas M. Kurihara  
Helmut Kurzdorfer  
Kyoung-In Kwon  
J. Dennis Lawrence  
Thomas B. Lindahl  
Jim J. Logan  
Henry A. Malec  
Tomoo Matsubara  
Ian R. McChesney  
James W. Moore  
Frederick I. Moxley  
Francisco Navas Plano

Pavol Navrat  
Gerald L. Ourada  
Alex Polack  
R. Razouk  
Annette D. Reilly  
Helmut Sandmayr  
Frederico Sousa Santos  
Robert J. Schaaf  
Carl A. Singer  
Richard S. Sky  
Mitchell W. Smith  
Fred J. Strauss  
Sandra Swearingen  
Toru Takeshita  
Richard H. Thayer  
Booker Thomas  
Leonard L. Tripp  
Theodore J. Urbanowicz  
Tom Vaiskunas  
Ronald L. Wade  
Randall K. Walters  
Larry L. Wear  
Charles J. Wertz  
Scott A. Whitmire  
Paul R. Work  
Natalie C. Yopconka  
Geraldine Zimmerman

When the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved this standard on 21 September 2000, it had the following membership:

**Donald N. Heirman, *Chair***

**James T. Carlo, *Vice Chair***

**Judith Gorman, *Secretary***

Satish K. Aggarwal  
Mark D. Bowman  
Gary R. Engmann  
Harold E. Epstein  
H. Landis Floyd  
Jay Forster\*  
Howard M. Frazier  
Ruben D. Garzon

James H. Gurney  
Richard J. Holleman  
Lowell G. Johnson  
Robert J. Kennelly  
Joseph L. Koepfinger\*  
Peter H. Lips  
L. Bruce McClung  
Daleep C. Mohla

James W. Moore  
Robert F. Munzner  
Ronald C. Petersen  
Gerald H. Peterson  
John B. Posey  
Gary S. Robinson  
Akio Tojo  
Donald W. Zipse

\*Member Emeritus

Also included is the following nonvoting IEEE-SA Standards Board liaison:

Alan Cookson, *NIST Representative*  
Donald R. Volzka, *TAB Representative*

Noelle D. Humenick  
*IEEE Standards Project Editor*



# Contents

1. Overview.....	1
1.1 Scope.....	1
1.2 Purpose.....	1
1.3 Intended users .....	2
1.4 Conformance to this recommended practice.....	3
2. References.....	3
3. Definitions.....	3
4. Conceptual framework.....	4
4.1 Architectural description in context.....	4
4.2 Stakeholders and their roles .....	6
4.3 Architectural activities in the life cycle .....	6
4.4 Uses of architectural descriptions .....	8
5. Architectural description practices .....	8
5.1 Architectural documentation.....	8
5.2 Identification of stakeholders and concerns.....	9
5.3 Selection of architectural viewpoints.....	9
5.4 Architectural views .....	10
5.5 Consistency among architectural views.....	11
5.6 Architectural rationale .....	11
5.7 Example use.....	11
Annex A (informative) Bibliography.....	13
Annex B (informative) Notes on terminology .....	14
Annex C (informative) Examples of viewpoints .....	17
Annex D (informative) Relationship to other standards .....	20

# IEEE Recommended Practice for Architectural Description of Software-Intensive Systems

## 1. Overview

### 1.1 Scope

This recommended practice addresses the architectural description of software-intensive systems. A *software-intensive system* is any system where software contributes essential influences to the design, construction, deployment, and evolution of the system as a whole.

The scope of this recommended practice encompasses those products of system development that capture architectural information. This includes architectural descriptions that are used for the following:

- a) Expression of the system and its evolution
- b) Communication among the system stakeholders
- c) Evaluation and comparison of architectures in a consistent manner
- d) Planning, managing, and executing the activities of system development
- e) Expression of the persistent characteristics and supporting principles of a system to guide acceptable change
- f) Verification of a system implementation's compliance with an architectural description
- g) Recording contributions to the body of knowledge of software-intensive systems architecture

### 1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this recommended practice is to facilitate the expression and communication of architectures and thereby lay a foundation for quality and cost gains through standardization of elements and practices for architectural description.

Despite significant efforts to improve engineering practices and technologies, software-intensive systems continue to present formidable risks and difficulties in their design, construction, deployment, and evolution. Recent attempts to address these difficulties have focused on the earliest period of design decision-making and evaluation, increasingly referred to as the *architectural level* of system development. The phrases *archi-*

*tectural level* and *architecture* are widely, if imprecisely, used. Their use reflects acceptance of an architectural metaphor in the analysis and development of software-intensive systems. A key premise of this metaphor is that important decisions may be made early in system development in a manner similar to the early decision-making found in the development of civil architecture projects.

Many innovations are resulting from this attention to the architectural level, among them architectural description languages and associated tools and environments; architectural frameworks, models, and patterns; and techniques for architectural analysis, evaluation, and architecture-based reuse. While these efforts differ considerably in important aspects, sufficient commonality exists to warrant the development of a recommended practice to codify their common elements.

These innovations are occurring, and maturing, rapidly within many research and application communities, and they reflect differing interests, influences, insights, and intentions. There is a general consensus on the importance of the *architectural level of systems development*, and that that level consists of early decision-making about overall design structure, goals, requirements, and development strategies. However, there has not yet emerged any reliable consensus on a precise definition of a system's *architecture*, i.e., how it should be described, what uses such a description may serve, or where and when it should be defined. The boundaries and relationships between architectural trends and practices, and other practices; and between architectural technology and other technology, are not yet widely recognized.

In such situations, progress often depends on mediating influences. Potential adopters of architectural practices and technology need a frame of reference within which to address implementation and adoption decisions. Technology developers need a frame of reference within which to communicate the motivating concepts of their technology, and to accumulate and appreciate feedback from early adoption.

To these ends, this recommended practice is intended to reflect generally accepted trends in practices for architectural description and to provide a technical framework for further evolution in this area. Furthermore, it establishes a conceptual framework of concepts and terms of reference within which future developments in system architectural technology can be deployed. This recommended practice codifies those elements on which there is consensus; specifically the use of multiple views, reusable specifications for models within views, and the relation of architecture to system context.

### 1.3 Intended users

The principal class of users for this recommended practice comprises stakeholders in system development and evolution, including the following:

- Those that use, own, and acquire the system (users, operators, and acquirers, or *clients*)
- Those that develop, describe, and document architectures (architects)
- Those that develop, deliver, and maintain the system (architects, designers, programmers, maintainers, testers, domain engineers, quality assurance staff, configuration management staff, suppliers, and project managers or *developers*)
- Those who oversee and evaluate systems and their development (chief information officers, auditors, and independent assessors)

A secondary class of users of this recommended practice comprises those involved in the enterprise-wide infrastructure activities that span multiple system developments, including methodologists, process and process-improvement engineers, researchers, producers of standards, tool builders, and trainers.

## 1.4 Conformance to this recommended practice

An architectural description conforms to this recommended practice if that description meets the requirements listed in Clause 5.

## 2. References

This recommended practice shall be used in conjunction with the following publications. When the following standards are superseded by an approved revision, the revision shall apply.

IEEE Std 610.12–1990, IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology.<sup>1</sup>

IEEE/EIA Std 12207.0–1996, IEEE/EIA Standard—Industry Implementation of ISO/IEC 12207: 1995, Information Technology—Software life cycle processes.

Withdrawn

---

<sup>1</sup>IEEE publications are available from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331, Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331, USA (<http://standards.ieee.org/>).