This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

IEC TR 62010

Edition 2.0 2016-12

TECHNICAL REPORT

colour inside

Analyser systems – Maintenance management

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

ICS 71.040.01

ISBN 978-2-8322-3684-0

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

® Registered trademark of the International Electrotechnical Commission

This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

CONTENTS

FOREWORD 5
INTRODUCTION 7
1 Scope 9
1.1 Purpose 9
1.2 Questions to be addressed 9
2 Normative references 10
3 Terms and definitions 10
4 Classifying analysers using a risk based approach 15
4.1 General 15
4.2 Safety protection 17
4.3 Environmental protection 17
4.4 Asset protection 19
4.5 Profit maximisation 19
4.6 Performance target 20
4.7 Maintenance priority 21
4.8 Support priority 21
5 Maintenance strategies 21
5.1 General 21
5.2 Reliability centred maintenance (RCM) 21
5.2.1 General 21
5.2.2 Reactive maintenance 22
5.2.3 Preventative or planned maintenance (PM) 22
5.2.4 Condition based strategy 23
5.2.5 Proactive maintenance 23
5.2.6 Optimising maintenance strategy 23
5.3 Management systems/organisation 24
5.4 Training/competency 26
5.4.1 General 26
5.4.2 Training needs 26
5.4.3 Selecting trainees 26
5.4.4 Types of training 26
5.4.5 Vendor training 27
5.4.6 Classroom training 27
5.4.7 Technical societies 27
5.4.8 User training 27
5.4.9 Retraining 28
5.5 Optimal resourcing 28
5.5.1 General 28
5.5.2 Equivalent analyser per technician (EQAT) calculation method 29
5.5.3 Ideal number of technicians 29
5.5.4 In-house or contracted out maintenance 30
5.5.5 Off-site technical support requirement 31
5.6 Best practice benchmarking 31
5.7 Annual analyser key performance indicator (KPI) review 31
6 Analyser performance monitoring 32
6.1 General 32

This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

6.2 Recording failures – reason/history codes 33
6.2.1 General 33
6.2.2 Typical failure pattern 33
6.3 SPC/proof checking 35
6.3.1 Analyser control charting 35
6.3.2 Control chart uncertainty limits 37
6.4 Analyser performance indicators 38
6.4.1 Key performance indicators (KPI) 38
6.4.2 Additional analyser performance indicators 39
6.4.3 Points to consider in measurement of analyser availability 40
6.4.4 Points to consider in measurement of operator utilisation 42
6.4.5 Points to consider in measurement of analyser benefit value 43
6.4.6 Deriving availability, utilisation and benefit measurement 43
6.4.7 Optimising analyser performance targets 44
6.4.8 Analyser maintenance cost against benefit 48
6.5 Analyser performance reporting 48
Annex A (informative) Equivalent analyser per technician (EQAT) 50
A.1 Part 1 – Calculated technician number worksheet 50
A.2 Part 2 – Equivalent analyser inventory worksheet calculation methodology 50
A.3 Part 3 – Equivalent analyser inventory worksheet 52
Annex B (informative) Example interpretation of control chart readings 57
Annex C (informative) Determination of control chart limits by measuring standard deviations of differences 59
Annex D (informative) Adopting a maintenance strategy 61
Annex E (informative) Examples of analyser cost against benefit and analyser performance monitoring reports 62
Annex F (informative) Typical reports for analyser performance monitoring 67
Bibliography 69

Figure 1 – Flow path detailing interrelationships of subject matter in IEC TR 62010 7
Figure 2 – Generalized risk graph 16
Figure 3 – Failure mode pattern 24
Figure 4 – Organisation of analyser functions 25
Figure 5 – Relative maintenance costs 30
Figure 6 – Life cycle diagram 34
Figure 7 – Reliability centred maintenance failure patterns 35
Figure 8 – Control charting diagram 36
Figure 9 – Examples of analyser results 37
Figure 10 – Example of control charting with linear interpretation 41
Figure 11 – Deriving availability, utilisation and benefit measurement 43
Figure B.1 – Example of accurately distributed control chart reading 57
Figure B.2 – Example of biased control chart reading 57
Figure B.3 – Example of drifting control chart reading 58
Figure B.4 – Example of control chart reading, value outside warning limit 58
Figure C.1 – Example determination of control chart limits by measuring standard deviations 60

This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

Figure D.1 – Determining appropriate maintenance strategy 61
Figure E.1 – Achievable availability against manning 66
Figure E.2 – Achievable benefit against manning 66
Figure F.1 – Uptime in Plant "A" 67

Table 1 – Typical application of elements in the risk graph 17
Table 2 – Best practice availability targets 20
Table 3 – Example agenda for a KPI review meeting 32
Table C.1 – Example distillation analyser data for determining control chart limits 59
Table E.1 – Analyser costs versus benefits 62
Table E.2 – Analyser technician resources 64
Table E.3 – Technician skill and experience data 64
Table E.4 – Variation of availability with manning levels and overtime 64
Table E.5 – Sitewide average analyser data 65
Table F.1 – Results of analyser performance in Plant "A" 68

This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

_____

ANALYSER SYSTEMS – MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT

FOREWORD

1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as “IEC Publication(s)”). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The main task of IEC technical committees is to prepare International Standards. However, a technical committee may propose the publication of a Technical Report when it has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard, for example "state of the art".

IEC TR 62010, which is a Technical Report, has been prepared by subcommittee 65B: Measurement and control devices, of IEC technical committee 65: Industrial-process measurement, control and automation.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition published in 2005, This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

a) addition of data, examples and clarifications.

EEMUA Publication 187: 2013 – *Analyser systems: A guide to maintenance management*, has served as a basis for the elaboration of this Technical Report, with the permission of the Engineering and Equipment Users Association.

This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

The text of this Technical Report is based on the following documents:

Enquiry draft	Report on voting
65B/990/DTR	65B/1063/RVC

Full information on the voting for the approval of this Technical Report can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This document has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

INTRODUCTION

This document covers best practices for the maintenance of on-line analysers. Analysers are used in industry to measure variables which significantly contribute to safety, environmental, asset protection and profit maximisation.

Maintenance organisation, prioritising of maintenance effort, maintenance methods, correct resourcing, performance monitoring and reporting all play an important role in successful application of on-line analysers.

The ultimate effectiveness of the contribution of on-line analysers is measured by the ability to perform their functional requirements upon demand. This document gives guidance on performance target setting, strategies to improve reliability, methods to measure effective performance, and the organisations, resources and systems that need to be in place to allow this to occur.

The various subjects covered in this document are discrete items and can appear unrelated in the overall scheme of analyser maintenance procedures and strategies. The following flow path in Figure 1 ties the clauses together in a logical sequence of approach.



Figure 1 – Flow path detailing interrelationships of subject matter in IEC TR 62010

This document provides a mechanism by which the criticality of an analyser can be determined by means of a risk assessment. The risk assessment is based on consideration of the consequence of the loss of the analysis to the operation of a process unit, or group of process units, personnel/plant safety and the environment.

Determination of a criticality rating for the analyser allows target values for reliability to be set for each criticality classification and prioritisation for maintenance and support. Such approaches are covered in Clause 4.

This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

A numbers strategy designed to allow the target reliabilities calculated by the risk assessments to be met are defined in Clause 5.

Finally, mechanisms for tracking analyser performance and quantifying the performance as meaningful measures are presented in Clause 6.

This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

ANALYSER SYSTEMS – MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT

1 Scope

1.1 Purpose

This document is written with the intention of providing an understanding of analyser maintenance principles and approaches. It is designed as a reference source for individuals closely involved with maintenance of analytical instrumentation, and provides guidance on performance target setting, strategies to improve reliability, methods to measure effective performance, and the organisations, resources and systems that need to be in place to allow this to occur.

Effective management of on-line analysers is only possible when key criteria have been identified and tools for measuring these criteria established.

On-line analysers are used in industry for the following reasons:

- **Safety and environmental**. One category of on-line analyser is those used to control and monitor safety and environmental systems. The key measured parameter for this category of analyser is on-line time. This is essentially simpler to measure than an analyser's contribution to profits but as with process analysers applied for profit maximisation, the contribution will be dependent upon ability to perform its functional requirements on demand.
- **Asset protection and profit maximisation**. On-line analysers falling into this category are normally those impacting directly on process control. They can impact directly on protection of assets (e.g. corrosion, catalyst contamination) or product quality, or can be used to optimise the operation of the process (e.g. energy efficiency). For this category of analysers, the key measured parameter is either the cost of damage to plant or the direct effect on overall profit of the process unit. Justification as to whether an analyser is installed on the process can be sought by quantifying the payback time of the analyser, the pass/fail target typically being 18 months. The contribution of the analyser to reduction in extent of damage to, or the profit of, the process unit, is difficult to measure. However, this contribution will be dependent upon the analyser's ability to perform its functional requirements upon demand.

This document focuses on the cost/benefits associated with traditional analyser maintenance organisations. Due to the complexity of modern analysers, support can be required from laboratory or product quality specialists, for example for chemometric models, who can work for other parts of the organisation. Inclusion of their costs in the overall maintenance cost is therefore important.

1.2 Questions to be addressed

When considering on-line analyser systems and their maintenance, the following key points list is useful in helping decide where gaps exist in the maintenance strategy.

- **What is the uptime of each critical analyser?** Do you measure uptime and maintain records? Do you know the value provided by each analyser and therefore which ones are critical? Do you meet regularly with operations ('the customer') to review priorities?
- **What is the value delivered by each analyser in terms of process performance improvement (i.e. improved yield values, improved quality, improved manufacturing cycle time and/or process cycle time, process safety (e.g. interlocks), environmental importance)?** Is this information readily available and agreed to in meetings with operations? Is the value updated periodically?

This is a preview - click here to buy the full publication

- **What is the utilisation of each critical analyser?** That is, if the analyser is used in a control loop, what percentage of the time is the loop on manual due to questions about the analyser data? Do you keep records on the amount of time that analyser loops are in automatic? Do you meet regularly with operations to review the operator's views about the plausibility of the analyser data?
- **Do you have a regular preventive maintenance programme set up for each analyser which includes regular calibrations?** Does the calibration/validation procedure include statistical process control (SPC) concepts – upper/lower limits and measurement of analyser variability (or noise)? Is the procedure well documented? Do you conduct it regularly, even when things are running well?
- **Do you have trained personnel (capable of performing all required procedures and troubleshooting the majority of analyser problems) who are assigned responsibility for the analysers?** Do the trained personnel understand the process? Do they understand any lab measurements which relate to the analyser results?
- **Do the trained maintenance personnel have access to higher level technical support as necessary for difficult analyser and/or process problems?** Do they have ready access to the individual who developed the application? Do they have ready access to the vendor? Can higher level support personnel connect remotely to the analyser to observe and troubleshoot?
- **Do you have a maintenance record keeping systems, which documents all activity involving the analysers, including all calibration/validation records, all repairs and/or adjustments?**
- **Do you use the record keeping system to identify repetitive failure modes and to determine the root cause of failures?** Do you track the average time-to-repair analyser problems? Do you track average time-between-failures for each analyser?
- **Do you periodically review the analysers with higher level technical resources to identify opportunities to significantly improve performance by upgrading the analyser system with improved technology or a simpler/more reliable approach?**
- **Do you meet regularly with operations personnel to review analyser performance, update priorities, and understand production goals?**
- **Do you have a management framework that understands the value of the analysers and are committed to and supportive of reliable analysers?**
- **Do you know how much the maintenance programme costs each year and is there a solid justification for it?**

Consideration of the above questions will help to identify opportunities for continuously improving the reliability of installed process analysers. Once the opportunities are identified the following clauses are intended to give guidance in achieving the solutions with the aim of:

- maximising performance and benefit of installed analysers;
- achieving full operator confidence in the use of on-line analysers;
- analyser output data becoming reliable enough to be used by operators, control systems, and other users, in order to improve plant operation versus world class manufacturing metrics to become the best process analysers possible.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.