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INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

RADIATION PROTECTION INSTRUMENTATION –
DETERMINATION OF UNCERTAINTY IN MEASUREMENT

FOREWORD

1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.

2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.

3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.

4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.

5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.

6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.

7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.

8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.

9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The main task of IEC technical committees is to prepare International Standards. However, a technical committee may propose the publication of a technical report when it has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard, for example "state of the art".

IEC 62461, which is a technical report, has been prepared by subcommittee 45B: Radiation protection instrumentation, of IEC technical committee 45: Nuclear instrumentation.

This second edition of IEC TR 62461 cancels and replaces the first edition, published in 2006, and constitutes a technical revision. The main changes with respect to the previous edition are as follows:

– add to the analytical method for the determination of uncertainty the Monte Carlo method for the determination of uncertainty according to supplement 1 of the Guide to the Expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM S1), and
– add a very simple method to judge whether a measured result is significantly different from zero or not based on ISO 11929.
The text of this technical report is based on the following documents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enquiry draft</th>
<th>Report on voting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45B/783/DTR</td>
<td>45B/813/RVD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Full information on the voting for the approval of this technical report can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.
INTRODUCTION


The process of determining the uncertainty delivers not only a numerical value of the uncertainty; in addition it produces the best estimate of the quantity to be measured which may differ from the indication of the instrument. Thus, it can also improve the result of the measurement by using information beyond the indicated value of the instrument, e.g. the energy dependence of the instrument.
RADIATION PROTECTION INSTRUMENTATION –
DETERMINATION OF UNCERTAINTY IN MEASUREMENT

1 Scope

This Technical Report gives guidelines for the application of the uncertainty analysis according to ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM describing an analytical method for the uncertainty determination) and its Supplement 1:2008 (GUM S1 describing a Monte Carlo method for the uncertainty determination) for measurements covered by standards of IEC Subcommittee 45B. It does not include the uncertainty associated with the concept of the measuring quantity, e.g., the difference between $H_p(10)$ on the ISO water slab phantom and on the person.

This Technical Report explains the principles of the ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM), its Supplement 1:2008 (GUM S1) and the special considerations necessary for radiation protection at an example taken from individual dosimetry of external radiation. In the informative annexes, several examples are given for the application on instruments, for which SC 45B has developed standards.

This Technical Report is supposed to assist the understanding of the ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM), its Supplement 1:2008 (GUM S1), and other papers on uncertainty analysis. It cannot replace these papers nor can it provide the background and justification of the arguments leading to the concept of the ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM) and its Supplement 1:2008 (GUM S1).

Finally, this Technical Report gives a very simple method to judge whether a measured result is significantly different from zero or not based on ISO 11929.

For better readability the correct terms are not always used throughout this technical report. For example, instead of “random variables of a quantity” only the “quantity” itself is stated.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60050 (all parts): International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (available at http://www.electropedia.org)
