

TECHNICAL REPORT

**ISO/IEC
TR
21000-11**

First edition
2004-11-15

Information technology — Multimedia framework (MPEG-21) —

Part 11: Evaluation Tools for Persistent Association Technologies

Technologies de l'information — Cadre multimédia (MPEG-21) —

*Partie 11: Outils d'évaluation relatifs aux technologies d'association
persistante*

Reference number
ISO/IEC TR 21000-11:2004(E)



PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

© ISO/IEC 2004

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	v
Introduction	vii
1 Scope	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background to ISO/IEC TR 21000-11	1
1.3 Organisation of the Document	1
2 Terms and Abbreviations	2
2.1 Terms and Definitions	2
2.1.1 Computational Performance	2
2.1.2 Fingerprinting	2
2.1.3 Impairment	2
2.1.4 Perceptibility	3
2.1.5 Persistent Association	3
2.1.6 Persistent Association Tool	3
2.1.7 PAT Evaluation Configuration	3
2.1.8 Robustness	3
2.1.9 Survivability	3
2.1.10 Watermarking	4
2.1.11 Feature Extraction	4
2.2 Terms not used in this Technical Report	4
2.3 Abbreviations	4
3 (Persistent) Association Technologies	4
3.1 Introduction	4
3.2 Headers	5
3.3 Digital Signatures	6
3.4 Fingerprinting	7
3.5 Watermarking	8
4 Use Cases for Persistent Association	9
4.1 Introduction	9
4.2 Rights and Content Management	9
4.3 Audio Content Tracking and Reporting	9
4.4 Internet Audio Content Services	9
4.5 Anti-Piracy Investigation and Enforcement	9
4.6 Authentication and Integrity	10
4.7 Value Added Services	10
5 Considerations for the Evaluation of Persistent Association Tools	10
6 Characteristic Parameters of Persistent Association Technologies	11
6.1 Introduction	11
6.2 Fingerprint Size	11
6.3 Watermark Payload	12
6.4 Granularity	12
6.5 Perceptibility	12
6.6 Robustness	13
6.7 Reliability	13
6.8 Computational Performance	14
7 Issues in Persistent Association	15
7.1 Robustness to Malicious Attacks	16
7.1.1 Impairment Attacks	16

7.1.2	Synchronisation Attacks	16
7.1.3	Cryptographic Factors	16
7.2	Scalability.....	17
7.2.1	Scalability of Fingerprinting.....	17
7.2.2	Scalability of Watermarking	18
7.3	Interactions	18
8	Evaluation Methods for Persistent Association Technologies	18
8.1	Introduction.....	18
8.2	Generic Framework and Methodology for Evaluation of PAT	18
8.3	PAT Evaluation Configuration	20
8.4	Generic PAT Evaluation Process.....	20
8.5	Evaluation of Reliability	21
8.6	Evaluation of Perceptibility	22
8.7	Evaluation of Payload/Size.....	23
8.8	Evaluation of Robustness	24
8.9	Evaluation of Granularity.....	25
8.10	Evaluation of Computational Performance	26
8.11	Automation of Evaluations.....	27
	Bibliography.....	31

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

In exceptional circumstances, the joint technical committee may propose the publication of a Technical Report of one of the following types:

- type 1, when the required support cannot be obtained for the publication of an International Standard, despite repeated efforts;
- type 2, when the subject is still under technical development or where for any other reason there is the future but not immediate possibility of an agreement on an International Standard;
- type 3, when the joint technical committee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard (“state of the art”, for example).

Technical Reports of types 1 and 2 are subject to review within three years of publication, to decide whether they can be transformed into International Standards. Technical Reports of type 3 do not necessarily have to be reviewed until the data they provide are considered to be no longer valid or useful.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC TR 21000-11, which is a Technical Report of type 3, was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 29, *Coding of audio, picture, multimedia and hypermedia information*.

ISO/IEC TR 21000 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology — Multimedia framework (MPEG-21)*:

- *Part 1: Vision, Technologies and Strategy*
- *Part 2: Digital Item Declaration*
- *Part 3: Digital Item Identification*
- *Part 5: Rights Expression Language*
- *Part 6: Rights Data Dictionary*
- *Part 7: Digital Item Adaptation*
- *Part 8: Reference Software*

— *Part 9: File Format*

— *Part 11: Evaluation Tools for Persistent Association Technologies*

The following parts are under preparation:

— *Part 10: Digital Item Processing*

Introduction

Today, many elements exist to build an infrastructure for the delivery and consumption of multimedia content. There is, however, no "big picture" to describe how these elements, either in existence or under development, relate to each other. The aim for MPEG-21 is to describe how these various elements fit together. Where gaps exist, MPEG-21 will recommend which new standards are required. ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 29/WG 11 (MPEG) will then develop new standards as appropriate while other relevant standards may be developed by other bodies. These specifications will be integrated into the multimedia framework through collaboration between MPEG and these bodies.

The result is an open framework for multimedia delivery and consumption, with both the content creator and content consumer as focal points. This open framework provides content creators and service providers with equal opportunities in the MPEG-21 enabled open market. This will also be to the benefit of the content consumer providing them access to a large variety of content in an interoperable manner.

The vision for MPEG-21 is to define a multimedia framework to enable transparent and augmented use of multimedia resources across a wide range of networks and devices used by different communities.

This eleventh part of MPEG-21 (ISO/IEC TR 21000-11) documents best practice in the evaluation of Persistent Association Technologies – that is, technologies that persistently link information to identify and describe content with the content itself. Its purpose is to allow such evaluations to be conducted using a common evaluation framework with more specific test methodologies for each of the discussed persistent association technology types or paradigms. This Technical Report is intended to give confidence to those relying on the results that they are:

- Appropriate tests of the technology that will predict its performance under real-world conditions and
- Comparable with results obtained from other tests conducted using the same methodology.

Information technology — Multimedia framework (MPEG-21) —

Part 11: Evaluation Tools for Persistent Association Technologies

1 Scope

1.1 Introduction

MPEG-21 will provide an over-arching framework within which many elements of multimedia are brought together. In particular, coded representations of content will be juxtaposed with metadata descriptors and the Intellectual Property Management and Protection (IPMP) protection that apply to the content. This leads to a requirement for tools that can create and maintain (e.g. detect or extract) an *association* between content, metadata and IPMP elements within MPEG-21. Tools based on the techniques known as “watermarking” and “fingerprinting” offer a means to form associations between multimedia elements and the related information, where that association can be directly embedded within or inferred from the content itself. Furthermore, tools based on watermarking and fingerprinting allow such inferences to *persist* in the face of adaptation of the content. Such tools are termed Persistent Association Technologies (PAT) and within MPEG-21 there is a need to assess and evaluate these tools. This report sets out a process and plan for evaluating PAT. It does not provide information on how to normatively interpret results of tests conducted in accordance with this Technical Report as the selection of a set of specific evaluation procedures depends on the application scenario.

This Technical Report focuses on the evaluation of two classes of technology: watermarks and fingerprints (see Definitions in Subclause 2.1) when applied to Audio content.

It is expected that the scope of this Technical Report will be enhanced in future to cover other media types including video, still pictures and text.

This Technical Report describes evaluation methodologies for only some of the characteristics of these technologies. In particular, it does not attempt to define methodologies for evaluating the resistance of these technologies to deliberate attack on the association. Further detail is contained in Clause 7.

1.2 Background to ISO/IEC TR 21000-11

Recognising that the standardisation of Persistent Association Technologies (PAT) is not currently thought to be viable in the context of MPEG-21 and the wider international standardisation community, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 29/WG 11 (MPEG) examined options which would allow it to assist the adoption of PAT by industry.

A call for Requirements on PAT was issued and generated numerous responses. The analysis of these responses has allowed WG11 to understand the characteristics of PAT that may be required. This analysis also showed both a need and a possibility to establish a consensus approach to the *Evaluation* of such technologies which would be useful in selecting appropriate technologies for particular applications.

1.3 Organisation of the Document

ISO/IEC 21000-11 contains nine clauses. Clauses 1 and 2 set out the scope of this Technical Report, provide definition for terms and a list of abbreviations used and not used.

Clause 3 then familiarises the reader four different persistent association technology paradigms by providing a reference architecture for each of the discussed PAT paradigms¹⁾. Clauses 4 and 5 contain short use case scenarios for how to use Persistent Association Technologies, and how to evaluate such technologies.

Clause 6 then lists the seven characteristic parameters of PAT that can be used to evaluate such technologies. Before the main discussion on the evaluation methodology is discussed in Clause 8, Clause 7 contains a discussion on issues such as security and malicious attacks.

1) While Clause 3 introduces four PATs (headers, digital signatures, watermarks and fingerprints), the remainder of this Technical Report concentrates on watermarks and fingerprints only.